arXiv:1612.02476v1 [math.AC] 7 Dec 2016

I-PRIMARY SUBMODULES

ISMAEL AKRAY and HALGURD S. HUSSEIN

Abstract

In this paper, we give a generalization for weakly primary submodules called I-primary submodule and we study some properties of it. We give some characterizations of I-primary submodules. Also we establish the situation of I-primary submodules in module localizations and decomposable modules.

2010 *Mathematics subject classification:* primary 13A15; secondary 13C99; 13F05.

Keywords and phrases: Primary ideal, primary submodule, weakly primary submodule.

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper R will be a commutative ring with nonzero identity and I a fixed ideal of R and M a unitary left R-module. The concept of weakly prime ideals was introduced by Anderson and Smith (2003), where a proper ideal P is called weakly prime if, for $a, b \in R$ with $0 \neq ab \in P$, either $a \in P$ or $b \in P$, [5]. The radical of an ideal I of R is defined to be the set of all $a \in R$ for which $a^n \in I$ for some positive integer n. Primary ideals have an important role in commutative ring theory. A proper ideal Q of R is said to be primary provided that for $a, b \in R, ab \in Q$ implies that either $a \in Q$ or $b \in \sqrt{Q}$. We can generalize the concept of primary ideals by restricting the set where ab lies. A proper ideal Q of R is weakly primary if for $a, b \in R$ with $0 \neq ab \in Q$, either $a \in Q$ or $b \in \sqrt{Q}$. Weakly primary ideals were first introduced and studied by Ebrahimi Atani and Farzalipour in 2005, [9].

An R-module M is called a multiplication module if every submodule N of M has the form IM for some ideal I of R, see [3]. Note that, since $I \subseteq (N :_R M)$ then $N = IM \subseteq (N :_R M)M \subseteq N$. So that $N = (N :_R M)M$. Let N and K be submodules of a multiplication R-module M with $N = I_1M$ and $K = I_2M$ for some ideals I_1 and I_2 of R. The product of N and K denoted by NK is defined by $NK = I_1I_2M$. Then by [4, Theorem 3.4], the product of N and K is independent of presentations of N and K. An R-module M is called faithful if it has zero annihilator. Let N be a proper submodule of a nonzero R-module M. Then the M-radical of N, denoted by $M - rad(N)$, is defined to be the intersection of all prime submodules of M containing N. If M has no prime submodule containing N, then we say $M - rad(N) = M$. It is shown in [10, Theorem 2.12] that if N is a proper submodule of a multiplication R-module M, then $M - rad(N) = \sqrt{(N :_R M)}M$.

The class of prime submodules of modules was introduced and studied in 1992 as a generalization of the class of prime ideals of rings. Then, many generalizations of prime submodules were studied such as primary, classical prime, weakly prime and classical primary submodules, see [3, 7, 8, 11] and [6]. The authors in [1] and [2] introduced the notions I-prime ideals and I-prime submodules. A proper ideal of R is called I-prime ideal of R if $rs \in P - IP$ for all $r, s \in R$ implies that either $r \in P$ or $s \in P$. A proper submodule P of M is called I-prime submodule of M if $rm \in P - IP$ for all $r \in R$ and $m \in M$ implies that either $m \in P$ or $r \in (P : M)$. In this article, we define and study I-primary submodules which are generalizations of weakly primary (and weakly prime) submodules. We generalize some basic properties of weakly prime and weakly primary to I-primary submodules. We give some characterizations of I-primary submodules.

2. Main results

Let I be an ideal of R and M an R-module. A proper submodule P of M is called an I -primary submodule of M if $rm \in P - IP$ for all $r \in R$ and $m \in M$ implies that either $m \in P$ or $r \in \sqrt{(P : M)}$. In view of the above definition, in all what follows, I is an ideal of R. Now we begin with the following result.

THEOREM 2.1. *Let M be an R-module. Let P be an I-primary submodule of M. If $(P : M)P \nsubseteq IP$, then P is a primary submodule of M.*

PROOF. Suppose that $r \in R$ and $m \in M$ such that $rm \in P$. If $rm \notin IP$, since P is I-primary submodule of M, then $r^n \in (P : M)$ for some positive integer n or $m \in P$. Now suppose that $rm \in IP$. Let $rP \subseteq IP$. Because if $rP \nsubseteq IP$, then there exists $p \in P$ such that $rp \notin IP$, then $r(m + p) \in P - IP$. Therefore $r^n \in (P : M)$ for some positive integer n or $m + p \in P$. Hence $r^n \in (P : M)$ for some positive integer n or $m \in p$. Now suppose that $(P : M)m \subseteq IP$. Because if $(P : M)m \nsubseteq IP$, then there exists $t \in (P : M)$ such that $tm \notin IP$ and so $(r + t)m \in P - IP$. Then we have $r + t \in \sqrt{(P : M)}$ or $m \in P$. Hence $r \in \sqrt{(P : M)}$ or $m \in P$. Since $(P : M)P \nsubseteq IP$, so there exist $b \in (P : M)$ and $p \in P$ such that $bp \notin IP$. Then $(r + b)(m + p) \in P - IP$. Therefore $r + b \in \sqrt{(P : M)}$ or $m + p \in P$. Hence $r \in \sqrt{(P : M)}$ or $m \in P$. Thus P is primary submodule in M. □

COROLLARY 2.2. *Let P be a 0-primary of M such that $(P : M)P \neq 0$. Then P is a primary submodule of M.*

COROLLARY 2.3. *Let P be an I-primary submodule of M such that $IP \subseteq (P : M)^2P$ and that P is not primary submodule. Then $IP = (P : M)^2P$.*

In what follows we give some charactrizations for I-primary submodules.

THEOREM 2.4. *Let P be a proper submodule of M, then the following are equivalent:*
(1) P is an I-primary submodule of M.
(2)For $r \in R - \sqrt{(P : M)}$, $(P : r) = P \cup (IP : r)$.
(3) For $r \in R - \sqrt{(P : M)}$, $(P : r) = P$ or $(P : r) = (IP : r)$.

PROOF. (1)⇒ (2) Suppose that P is an I-primary submodule of M such that $r \notin \sqrt{(P : M)}$. Let $a \in (P : r)$. So $ra \in P$. If $ra \notin IP$, then $a \in P$. Because P is an I-primary submodule in M. If $ra \in IP$, then $a \in (IP : r)$. So $(P : r) \subseteq P \cup (IP : r)$. Now since $IP \subseteq P$, the other inclusion is hold.
(2)⇒ (3) It is clear because $(P : r)$ is a submodule of M.
(3)⇒ (1) Let $r \in R$ and $x \in M$ such that $rx \in P - IP$. If $r \notin \sqrt{(P : M)}$, then by assumption, either $(P : r) = P$ or $(P : r) = (IP : r)$. Since $rx \notin IP$ then $x \notin (IP : r)$, and since $rx \in P$, then $x \in (P : r)$. So $(P : r) = P$. Therefore $x \in P$. Thus P is an I-primary submodule of M. □

The quotient and localization of primary submodules are again primary submodules. But in case of I-primary submodules, we give a condition under which the localization becomes true as we see in the following theorem.

THEOREM 2.5. *Let M be an R-module. Let P be an I-primary submodule of M. Then,*
(i) If $N \subseteq P$ is a submodule of M, then $\frac{P}{N}$ is an I-primary submodule of $\frac{M}{N}$.
(ii) Suppose that S is a multiplicatively closed subset of R such that $S^{-1}P \neq S^{-1}M$ and $S^{-1}(IP) \subseteq (S^{-1}I)(S^{-1}P)$. Then $S^{-1}P$ is an $(S^{-1}I)$-primary submodule of $S^{-1}M$.

PROOF. (i) Let $r \in R$ and $m \in M$ such that $r(m + N) = rm + N \in \frac{P}{N} - I\frac{P}{N}$. Then $rm + N \in \frac{P-IP}{N}$. So $rm \in P - IP$. As P is I-primary submodule, then either $r^n \in (P : M)$ for some positive integer n or $m \in P$. Therefore $r^n \in (\frac{P}{N} : \frac{M}{N})$ for some positive integer n or $m + N \in \frac{P}{N}$. Hence $\frac{P}{N}$ is I-primary submodule of $\frac{M}{N}$.
(ii) For all $\frac{r}{s} \in S^{-1}R$ and $\frac{m}{t} \in S^{-1}M$, let $\frac{r}{s}.\frac{m}{t} = \frac{rm}{st} \in S^{-1}P - (S^{-1}I)(S^{-1}P) \subseteq S^{-1}P - S^{-1}(IP) = S^{-1}(P - IP)$. Then $\frac{rm}{st} = \frac{x}{u}$ for $x \in P - IP$ and $u \in S$. So for some $q \in S, qurm = qstx \in P - IP$. As P is I-primary submodule , $(qru)^n \in (P : M)$ for some positive integer n or $m \in P$. So $\frac{(qur)^n}{(qus)^n} = (\frac{r}{s})^n \in S^{-1}(P : M) = (S^{-1}P :_{S^{-1}R} S^{-1}M)$ or $\frac{m}{t} \in S^{-1}P$. Hence $S^{-1}P$ is $(S^{-1}I)$-primary submodule of $S^{-1}M$. □

PROPOSITION 2.6. *Let M be an R-module and P be a submodule of M.*
(i) If $I_1 \subseteq I_2$. Then P is I_1-primary implies P is I_2-primary.
(ii) If P is I-prime then P is I-primary.

PROOF. (1) Suppose that P is I_1-primary.Let $r \in R, m \in M$ with $rm \in P - I_2P$. Since $I_1 \subseteq I_2$, $P - I_2P \subseteq P - I_1P$. Then $rm \in P - I_1P$. But P is I_1-primary. So $r \in \sqrt{(P : M)}$ or $m \in P$. Thus P is I_2-primary.
(2) The proof is trivial. □

An ideal I is called radical if $I = \sqrt{I}$. In the following we give a condition under which I-prime and I-primary be equivalent.

PROPOSITION 2.7. *Let P be a proper submodule of an R-module M such that $(P : M)$ is radical ideal. Then P is I-primary if and only if P is I-prime.*

PROOF. The proof comes from the definitions. □

THEOREM 2.8. *Let M_1 and M_2 be modules over R_1 and R_2 respectively with $M = M_1 \times M_2$. Suppose that P_1 is an I_1-primary submodule of M_1 such that $IP_1 \times M_2 \subseteq I(P_1 \times M_2)$. Then $P_1 \times M_2$ is an I-primary submodule of $M_1 \times M_2$.*

PROOF. Let $(r_1, r_2) \in R$, and $(x_1, x_2) \in M$ with $(r_1, r_2)(x_1, x_2) = (r_1x_1, r_2x_2) \in P_1 \times M_2 - I(P_1 \times M_2)$, and $P_1 \times M_2 - I(P_1 \times M_2) \subseteq P_1 \times M_2 - IP_1 \times M_2 = (P_1 - IP_1) \times M_2$. We have $r_1x_1 \in P_1 - IP_1$ but P_1 is I-primary submodule of M_1. Then $r_1^n \in (P_1 :_{R_1} M_1)$ for some positive integer n or $x_1 \in P_1$. So $(r_1, r_2)^n = (r_1^n, r_2^n) \in (P_1 :_{R_1} M_1) \times R_2 = (P_1 \times M_2 :_{R_1 \times R_2} M_1 \times M_2)$ for some positive integer n or $(x_1, x_2) \in P_1 \times M_2$. Hence $P_1 \times M_2$ is an I-primary submodule of $M_1 \times M_2$. □

We illustrate the formation of the I-primary submodules in decomposition modules. In other manner the formation of I-primary submodules in decomposable module have one of the five types stated in the following theorem.

THEOREM 2.9. *Let $R = R_1 \times R_2$. Let M_1 and M_2 be R_1 and R_2-modules respectively with $M = M_1 \times M_2$. Let I_1 and I_2 be ideals of R_1 and R_2 respectively with $I = I_1 \times I_2$. Then all the following types are I-primary submodule of $M_1 \times M_2$.*

1. *$P_1 \times P_2$ where P_i is a proper submodule of M_i with $I_iP_i = P_i$ for $i = 1, 2$.*
2. *$P_1 \times M_2$ where P_1 is a primary submodule of M_1.*
3. *$P_1 \times M_2$ where P_1 is an I_1-primary submodule of M_1 and $I_2M_2 = M_2$.*
4. *$M_1 \times P_2$ where P_2 is a primary submodule of M_2.*
5. *$M_1 \times P_2$ where P_2 is an I_2-primary submodule of M_2 and $I_1M_1 = M_1$.*

PROOF. 1. Since $I_1P_1 = P_1$ and $I_2P_2 = P_2$. Then $I_1P_1 \times I_2P_2 = I_1 \times I_2(P_1 \times P_2) = I(P_1 \times P_2) = P_1 \times P_2$. So $P_1 \times P_2 = I(P_1 \times P_2) = \phi$. Thus there is nothing to prove.

2. Let P_1 be a primary submodule of M_1. Then $P_1 \times M_2$ is a primary submodule of $M_1 \times M_2$. Thus $P_1 \times M_2$ is I-primary submodule of M.

3. Suppose that P_1 is an I_1-primary submodule of M_1 and $I_2M_2 = M_2$. Let $(r_1, r_2) \in R$ and $(m_1, m_2) \in M$ such that $(r_1, r_2)(m_1, m_2) = (r_1m_1, r_2m_2) \in P_1 \times M_2 - I(P_1 \times M_2) = P_1 \times M_2 - (I_1 \times I_2)(P_1 \times M_2) = P_1 \times M_2 - (I_1P_1 \times I_2M_2) = P_1 \times M_2 - (I_1P_1 \times M_2) = (P_1 - I_1P_1) \times M_2$. Then $r_1m_1 \in P_1 - I_1P_1$ and P_1 is I_1-primary submodule of M_1, so $r_1^n \in (P_1 :_{R_1} M_1)$ for some positive integer $n \in N$ or $m_1 \in P_1$. Therefore $(r_1, r_2)^n = (r_1^n, r_2^n) \in (P_1 :_{R_1} M_1) \times R_2 = (P_1 \times M_2 :_{R_1 \times R_2} M_1 \times M_2)$ for some positive integer $n \in N$ or $(m_1, m_2) \in P_1 \times M_2$. So $P_1 \times M_2$ is an I-primary submodule of $M_1 \times M_2$.

4. The proof is similar to part (2).

5. The proof is similar to part (3).

□

PROPOSITION 2.10. *Let M be an R-module and let P be a proper submodule of M. Then P is I-primary in M if and only if $\frac{P}{IP}$ is 0-primary in $\frac{M}{IP}$.*

Proof. Suppose that P is I-primary in M. Let $r \in R, m \in M$ such that $0 \neq rm + IP = r(m + IP) \in \frac{P}{IP}$ in $\frac{M}{IP}$. Then $rm \in P - IP$. But by assuming P is I-primary, so $m \in P$ or $r \in \sqrt{(P : M)}$. Then $r \in \sqrt{P : M} = \sqrt{(\frac{P}{IP} : \frac{M}{IP})}$ or $m + IP \in \frac{P}{IP}$. Hence $\frac{P}{IP}$ is 0-primary submodule in $\frac{M}{IP}$. Conversely suppose that $\frac{P}{IP}$ is 0-primary submodule in $\frac{M}{IP}$. Let $r \in R, m \in M$ such that $rm \in P - IP$. So $0 \neq r(m + IP) = rm + IP \in \frac{P}{IP}$. But $\frac{P}{IP}$ is $\{0\}$-primary in $\frac{M}{IP}$. Thus $m + IP \in \frac{P}{IP}$ or $r \in \sqrt{(\frac{P}{IP} : \frac{M}{IP})}$ and so $m \in P$ or $r \in \sqrt{(P : M)}$. Hence P is 0-primary. □

Now we give two other charactrizations of I-primary submodules.

Theorem 2.11. *Let M be an R-module and P be a proper submodule of M. Then P is I-primary in M if and only if for any ideal J of R and submodule N of M such that $JN \subseteq P - IP$, we have $J \subseteq \sqrt{(P : M)}$ or $N \subseteq P$*

Proof. Suppose that P is I-primary submodule of M, and $JN \subseteq P - IP$ for some ideal J of R and submodule N of M. If $J \nsubseteq \sqrt{(P : M)}$ and $N \nsubseteq P$, so there exists $r \in J - \sqrt{(P : M)}$ and $x \in N - P$ such that $rx \in P - IP$. By assuming P is I-primary in M, either $x \in P$ or $r \in \sqrt{(P : M)}$ which is a contradiction. Hence $J \subseteq \sqrt{(P : M)}$ or $N \subseteq P$. Conversely suppose that $rm \in P - IP$ for $r \in R$ and $m \in M$. Then $(r)(m) = (rm) \subseteq P - IP$. So by assumption, either $(r) \subseteq \sqrt{(P : M)}$ or $(m) \subseteq P$. Therefore $r \in \sqrt{(P : M)}$ or $m \in P$. Thus P is an I-primary submodule of M. □

The following theorem gives the relation between I-primary ideals and I-primary submodules.

Theorem 2.12. *Let M be a finitely generated faithful multiplication R-module and P a proper submodule of M with $(IP : M) = I(P : M)$. Then P is an I-primary submodule of M if and only if $(P : M)$ is an I-primary ideal of R.*

Proof. Assume that P is I-primary submodule in M. Let $r, s \in R$, such that $rs \in (P : M) - I(P : M)$. Then $rsM \subseteq P$. If $rsM \subseteq IP$. Then $rs \in (IP : M) = I(P : M)$ which is contradiction. Assume $rsM \nsubseteq IP$. Then $rsM \subseteq P - IP$. So $r \in \sqrt{(P : M)}$ or $sM \subseteq P$. Therefore $r \in \sqrt{(P : M)}$ or $s \in (P : M)$. Hence $(P : M)$ is I-primary ideal. Conversely assume that $(P : M)$ is I-primary ideal. Let $r \in R, m \in M$ such that $rm \in P - IP$. $r(Rm : M) = (rRm : M) \subseteq (P : M)$ and $r(Rm : M) \nsubseteq I(P : M)$ otherwise $rRm = r(Rm : M)M \subseteq I(P : M)M = IP$. That is $r(Rm : M) \subseteq (P : M) - I(P : M)$. Therefore $r \in \sqrt{(P : M)}$ or $(Rm : M) \subseteq (P : M)$. Hence $r \in \sqrt{(P : M)}$ or $Rm \subseteq P$. Thus $r \in \sqrt{(P : M)}$ or $m \in P$. This means that P is I-primary submodule. □

Theorem 2.13. *Let M be a finitely generated faithful multiplication R-module and P be a proper submodule of M such that $I(P : M) = (IP : M)$. Then P is I-primary in M if and only if whenever N and K are submodules of M such that $NK \subseteq P - IP$, we have either $N \subseteq P$ or $K \subseteq \sqrt{P}$.*

Proof. Suppose that P is an I-primary submodule in M. So $(N : P)$ is an I-primary ideal in R. As M is a multiplication R-module, $N = (N : M)M$, $K = (K : M)M$ and so $N.K = (N : M)(K : M)M$. Suppose that $NK \subseteq P - IP$, but $N \nsubseteq P$ and $K \nsubseteq \sqrt{P}$. Thus $(N : M) \nsubseteq (P : M)$ and $(K : M) \nsubseteq \sqrt{(P : M)}$. As $(P : M)$ is I-primary in R. So either $(N : M)(K : M) \nsubseteq (P : M)$ or $(N : M)(K : M) \subseteq I(P : M)$. In the first case, we have $N.K = (N : M)(K : M)M \subseteq (P : M)M = P$ which is contradiction. Now if $(N : M)(K : M) \subseteq I(P : M)$, then $N.K = (N : M)(K : M)M \subseteq I(P : M)M = IP$, which is again a contradiction. Hence either $N \subseteq P$ or $K \subseteq P$. To prove the converse part, by Theorem 2.12, it is enough to prove that $(P : M)$ is I-primary ideal in R. Let $a, b \in R$ such that $ab \in (P : M) - I(P : M)$ with $a \notin (P : M)$ and $b \notin (P : M)$. Take $N = aM, K = bM$. Then $N.K = abM \subseteq (P : M)M = P$. If $NK = abM \subseteq IP$, then $ab \in (IP : M) = I(P : M)$, which is contradiction. Hence $NK \subseteq P - IP$. By hypothesis and since M is a faithful multiplication module, we have either $N = aM \subseteq P$ or $K = bM \subseteq \sqrt{P} = \sqrt{(P : M)M}$ and so $a \in (P : M)$ or $b \in \sqrt{(P : M)}$. Therefore $(P : M)$ is an I-primary ideal of R. □

Proposition 2.14. *Let P be a submodule of an R-module M and N be any R-module. Then P is an I-primary submodule of M if and only if $P \oplus N$ is an I-primary submodule of $M \oplus N$.*

Proof. Assume that P is an I-primary submodule in M. Let $r \in R, (m, n) \in M \oplus N$ such that $r(m, n) \in (P \oplus N) - I(P \oplus N)$. Then $rm \in P - IP$. But P is I-primary in M, so $m \in P$ or $r \in \sqrt{(P : M)}$, that is $(m, n) \in P \oplus N$ or $r \in \sqrt{(P \oplus N : M \oplus N)}$. Therefore $P \oplus M$ is I-primary in $M \oplus N$. Conversely suppose that $P \oplus N$ is I-primary in $M \oplus N$. Let $r \in R, m \in M$ such that $rm \in P - IP$. Then $r(m, 0) \in (P \oplus N) - I(P \oplus N)$. But by assuming $P \oplus N$ is I-primary, so $(m, 0) \in P \oplus N$ or $r \in \sqrt{(P \oplus N : M \oplus N)}$. Therefore $m \in P$ or $r \in \sqrt{(P : M)}$. Hence P is I-primary in M. □

Let J be an ideal. We show that under a certain condition the I-primaryness of submodules P and $(P : J)$ are equivalent. First we give the following lemma.

Lemma 2.15. *Let P be a submodule of a faithful multiplication R-module M and J be finitely generated faithful multiplication ideal of R, then:*
(1) $P = (JP : J)$;
(2) If $P \subseteq JM$, then $(KP : J) = K(P : J)$ for any ideal K of R.

Proposition 2.16. *Let P be a submodule of a faithful multiplication R-module M and J be a finitely generated faithful multiplication ideal of R. Then P is an I-primary submodule of JM if and only if $(P : J)$ is I-primary in M.*

Proof. Suppose P is I-primary in JM. Take $r \in R, m \in M$ such that $rm \in (P : J) - I(P : J)$. Then $rJm \subseteq P - IP$. If $rJm \nsubseteq IP$, then by Lemma 2.15 , $rm \in (IP : J) = I(P : J)$ which is a contradiction. As P is an I-primary in JM, then $Jm \subseteq P$ or $r \in \sqrt{(P : JM)}$. So $m \in (P : J)$ or $r \in \sqrt{((P : J) : M)}$, because $(P : JM) = ((P : J) : M)$. Hence $(P : J)$ is an I-primary submodule in M. Conversely, assume that $(P : J)$ is I-primary

in M. Let K be an ideal of R, and N be a submodule of JM such that $KN \subseteq P - IP$. Then $K(N : J) \subseteq (KN : J) \subseteq (P : J)$. Moreover, if $K(N : J) \subseteq I(P : J)$, then by Lemma 2.15 $KN = K(JN : J) = K(N : J)J \subseteq I(P : J)J = IP$ which is a contradiction. Hence $K(N : J) \subseteq (P : J) - I(P : J)$. As $(P : J)$ is I-primary in M, either $K \subseteq \sqrt{((P : J) : M)} = \sqrt{(P : JM)}$ or $(N : J) \subseteq (P : J)$, which implies that $N = (N : J)J \subseteq (P : J)P = P$. Hence P is an I-primary submodule in JM. □

Let M and F be R-modules and $r \in R$. Then it is clear that for any submodule P of M, $F \otimes (P : r) \subseteq (F \otimes P : r)$. In the following lemma we give a condition under which the equality holds.

Lemma 2.17. *Let $r \in R$ and P a submodule of M. Then for every flat R-module F, we have $F \otimes (P : r) = (F \otimes P : r)$.*

Proof. Consider the exact sequence $0 \longrightarrow (P : r) \longrightarrow M \xrightarrow{f_r} \frac{M}{P}$ where $f_r(m) = rm+P$. As F is flat , the exactness of the sequence $0 \longrightarrow P \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow \frac{M}{P} \longrightarrow 0$ implies the exactness of the sequence $0 \longrightarrow F \otimes P \longrightarrow F \otimes M \longrightarrow F \otimes \frac{M}{P} \longrightarrow 0$ which gives $F \otimes \frac{M}{P} \cong \frac{F \otimes M}{F \otimes P}$. So the exactness of the sequence $0 \longrightarrow (P : r) \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow \frac{M}{P}$ imply the exactness of the sequence $0 \longrightarrow F \otimes (P : r) \longrightarrow F \otimes M \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \acute{f}_r} \frac{F \otimes M}{F \otimes P}$ where $(1 \otimes \acute{f}_r)(n \otimes m) = r.(n \otimes m) + F \otimes P$ for $n \in F$. Therefore $F \otimes (P : r) = ker(1 \otimes \acute{f}_r) = (F \otimes P :_{F \otimes M} r)$. □

Theorem 2.18. *Let P be an I-primary submodule of an R-module M and F be a flat R-module with $F \otimes P \neq F \otimes M$. Then $F \otimes P$ is an I-primary submodule of $F \otimes M$.*

Proof. Suppose that P is an I-primary and $r \in R - \sqrt{(P : M)}$. Then by Theorem 2.4 $(P : r) = P$ or $(P : r) = (IP : r)$. So by Lemma 2.17, $(F \otimes P : r) = F \otimes (P : r) = F \otimes P$ or $(F \otimes P : r) = F \otimes (P : r) = F \otimes (IP : r) = (F \otimes IP : r) = (I(F \otimes P) : r)$ and consequently $F \otimes P$ is an I-primary submodule of $F \otimes M$. □

Proposition 2.19. *Let F be a faithfully flat R-module. Then a submodule P of an R-module M is I-primary if and only if $F \otimes P$ is an I-primary submodule of $F \otimes M$.*

Proof. Suppose that P is an I-primary submodule of an R-module M and F a faithfully flat R-module. If $F \otimes P = F \otimes M$, then the exactness of the sequence $0 \longrightarrow F \otimes P \longrightarrow F \otimes M \longrightarrow 0$ imply the exactness of $0 \longrightarrow P \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0$ and hence $P = M$ which is a contradiction. So $F \otimes P \neq F \otimes M$ and by Theorem 2.18 $F \otimes P$ is an I-primary submodule of $F \otimes M$. Conversely, let $F \otimes P$ be an I-primary submodule of $F \otimes M$. Hence we obtain $F \otimes P \neq F \otimes M$ and so $P \neq M$. By Lemma 2.17, for every $r \in R - \sqrt{(P : M)}$ we have $r \notin (F \otimes P : F \otimes M)$ and $F \otimes (P : r) = (F \otimes P : r) = F \otimes P$ or $F \otimes (P : r) = (F \otimes P : r) = (I(F \otimes P) : r) = (F \otimes IP : r) = F \otimes (IP : r)$. Suppose $F \otimes (P : r) = F \otimes P$. Then $0 \longrightarrow F \otimes (P : r) \longrightarrow F \otimes P \longrightarrow 0$ is an exact sequence and as F is faithfully flat, $0 \longrightarrow (P : r) \longrightarrow P \longrightarrow 0$ is an exact sequence and consequently $(P : r) = P$. The other case can be proved similarly. Thus by Theorem 2.4, P is an I-primary submodule of M. □

It is well-known that $I \otimes F \cong IF$ for any ideal I of R and flat R-module F. Thus by Theorem 2.18 and Proposition 2.19 we conclude the following.

COROLLARY 2.20. *Let F be a flat R-module and P be an I-primary ideal of R with $PF \neq F$. Then PF is an I-primary submodule of F. In the case F is faithfully flat, the converse is also true.*

We know that every polynomial ring $R[x]$ is a flat R-module and that $R[x] \otimes M \cong M[x]$. Hence as an immediate consequence of the Theorem 2.18 we give the following corollary.

COROLLARY 2.21. *Let M be an R-module and x an indeterminate. If P is an I-primary submodule of M, then $P[x]$ is an I-primary submodule of $M[x]$.*

References

[1] Akray, I., I-prime ideals, to be appear.
[2] Akray, I. and Hussein, H. S., I-prime submodules, to be appear.
[3] Ali, M., Multiplication modules and homogeneous idealization. II. Beitr Algebra Geom, 2007, 48: 321 - 343
[4] Ameri, R., On the prime submodules of multiplication modules, Inter. J. Math. Math. Sci., 27 (2003), 1715 - 1724.
[5] Anderson, D. D., Smith, E., Weakly prime ideals. Houston J. Math. 29 (2003), 831 - 840.
[6] Baziar M. and Behboodi M., Classical primary submodules and decomposition of modules. J Algebra Appl, 8(3) (2009), 351 - 362
[7] Behboodi M., Classical prime submodules [PhD Thesis]. Ahvaz: Chamran University, Iran, 2004.
[8] Behboodi M, Koohy H. Weakly prime modules. Vietnam J Math, 32(3) (2004), 303 - 317
[9] Ebrahimi Atani, S., Farzalipour, F., On weakly primary ideals, Georgian Math. J. 12 (2005), 423 - 429.
[10] El-Bast, Z. A. and Smith, P. F., Multiplication modules, Comm. Algebra, 16 (1988), 755 - 779.
[11] Lu C. Prime submodules of modules. Comment Math Univ St Paul, 33(1) (1984), 61 - 69

Ismael Akray, Department of Mathematics, Soran University, Erbil city, Kurdistan region, Iraq
e-mail: ismael.akray@soran.edu.iq

Halgurd S. Hussein, Department of Mathematics, Soran University, Erbil city, Kurdistan region, Iraq
e-mail: rasty.rosty1@gmail.com